```
LB3CcenC
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
      CENTER FOR INDEPENDENCE OF THE
      DISABLED, NEW YORK, et al.,
 4
                     Plaintiffs,
5
                                                17 Civ. 2990 (GBD)
                 V.
6
                                                Telephone Conference
      METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION
 7
      AUTHORITY, et al.,
8
                     Defendants.
9
                                                New York, N.Y.
10
                                                November 3, 2021
                                                9:45 a.m.
11
      Before:
12
                           HON. GEORGE B. DANIELS,
13
                                                District Judge
14
                                 APPEARANCES
15
      SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON
           Attorneys for Plaintiffs
16
      BY: DANIEL C. BROWN
17
      HOGUET NEWMAN REGAL & KENNEY LLP
          Attorneys for Defendants
18
      BY: IRA J. LIPTON
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

24

25

decided.

1 (The Court and all parties appearing telephonically) (Case called) 2 3 THE COURT: Good morning. 4 MR. BROWN: Good morning, your Honor. 5 MR. LIPTON: Good morning, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Let me get from you, each side, and maybe 7 you'll agree on how we should proceed at this point. question is whether or not you want to submit further briefing 8 9 or you think that further discovery is appropriate. 10 Let me start with the plaintiff, please. 11 MR. BROWN: Good morning, your Honor. Daniel Brown 12 for the plaintiff. 13 We actually conferred with counsel in advance of this 14 conference. At this point, we've agreed, and counsel will correct me if I'm incorrect, to the end of February to do any 15 updated or additional supplementing of the record and 16 discovery. Then we discussed, to the extent the parties are 17 going to move for summary judgment, updated briefing 30 days 18 after that followed by obviously oppositions and reply. 19 20 So I think that captures where we think we are. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Lipton. 22 MR. LIPTON: Yes, your Honor. Mr. Brown stated it 23 correctly. I would just add, in the hopper, there were also

the in limine motions which the circuit thought should be

maybe when we put the supplemental motion papers in following this period of limited discovery.

THE COURT: Why don't you give me some proposed dates so we can see when we can expect --

MR. LIPTON: This is what we talked about the other day, Mr. Brown stated them. So we thought that, for a limited further disclosure period, we would have until February the 28th. This would be whether they're voluntary -- we don't know exactly what kind of form this is going to take, but there could be voluntary disclosures, there could be supplemental affidavits. We're not anticipating much in the way of depositions, but a limited deposition based upon what's disclosed is also possible. So we're not going to be doubling the record at this point. But, really, more in the nature of updating it and maybe focusing the testimony, if you will, to the circuit's remand opinion. So that would be February 28th.

THE COURT: My understanding is that, pursuant to the Second Circuit's remand, it primarily should focus both the further briefing consideration and any other discovery should focus on the issue of reasonable accommodation.

MR. LIPTON: That is correct. That is our understanding. I think on the other part of the case, the circuit did say — they didn't make any findings. They did state there were questions of fact. So they disagree with your Honor on summary judgment on that, but some of those questions

are built into the expert reports and testimony where the in-limine motions addressed and those weren't considered on your Honor's summary judgment decision. So I think there could be some supplemental material there possibly. We haven't really talked to our expert yet or our client, but there is a possible supplement there. I think that maybe those, if there are supplemental briefings on that, and I don't know it's going to be necessary, but if there were, maybe they would go in at the same time that the supplemental briefings on summary judgment would go in. That would be my suggestion.

THE COURT: Still, you can focus me if I'm incorrect, but my reading of the opinion is that, other than articulating issues specifically with regard to the city client, that the additional analysis was related to reasonable accommodation, not related to the broader issue that the parties argued and I addressed.

So I want to make sure that everybody understands, and if I'm going to get something significantly more than that, I want to make sure that that's really what we should be concentrating on, because the reasonable accommodation may or may not require further discovery of supplementing the record, but you are going to have to tell me whether or not you're proceeding on the same set of facts or you have a different set of facts. And then we can, if it's necessary, rule on the experts. My recollection is that's really the focus of the

24

25

MR. BROWN:

THE COURT:

motions in limine, then rule on the experts if it's resubmitted 1 for summary judgment, then you can focus me in that direction. 2 3 You were saying that 2/28 would be the time period for 4 any further supplementing of the record or any further 5 discovery? 6 MR. LIPTON: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: And then I guess it would make sense for 8 you to submit whatever supplemental briefing that you want to 9 submit in support of your motion for summary judgment if you 10 intend to renew that motion. MR. LIPTON: Yes, your Honor. We thought 30 days 11 12 after the close of the supplemental discovery period; is that 13 right, Mr. Brown? 14 MR. BROWN: Yes. 15 THE COURT: So by March 28th? 16 MR. LIPTON: Yes. 17 THE COURT: That's the date you have? 18 MR. LIPTON: Well, actually, we had -- that would be 19 by -- I'm sorry. 20 February 28th for the updated discovery MR. BROWN: 21 and then March 28th is okay with us. 22 THE COURT: That would be for supplemental briefing to 23 be filed by the city?

All right.

Well, whoever moves for summary judgment.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just to clarify, I don't think there is any disagreement, but just to respond to your comment, we agree that sort of the focus of the Second Circuit opinion was on reasonable accommodation, however -- and we haven't -- I'm just not sure, but there certainly is possibly additional discovery relating to whether there was an underlying violation based on updated discovery. So I think some of the discovery will relate to both parts of it.

THE COURT: I don't anticipate that we're going to do any significant discovery related to the issues that discovery has already been done on and the parties have already argued and submitted. If it seems obvious that there is a change of circumstances that warrants consideration, then you should discuss that right away and see if you agree.

MR. BROWN: We will.

THE COURT: Otherwise, I don't anticipate additional discovery on the issues of the discovery that has already been done, other than, as I say, limited discovery with regard to reasonable accommodation to address the issues the Second Circuit said should be addressed.

MR. BROWN: Understood.

THE COURT: So when would be the response to the summary judgment motion?

MR. BROWN: We would ask for April 11th.

THE COURT: April 11th. And then replies by when?

25

hear from you before then.

MR. BROWN: I apologize. I would ask for April 18th. 1 MR. LIPTON: And the first date, I thought we had it 2 3 that that date fell on a Saturday, so it should go over to the 4 Monday. 5 THE COURT: I have February 28th is a Monday, I have 6 March 28th is a Monday, and April 18th is a Monday. 7 MR. LIPTON: Okay. Two weeks to reply from April 18. 8 THE COURT: May 2nd? 9 MR. LIPTON: Yes. THE COURT: Then what I'm going to do is you should 10 11 tell me whether you want further argument. I will schedule a 12 conference and/or argument, if that's what is appropriate. 13 Let's say May 18th at 10:30. That will be our next proposed 14 conference for oral argument and we'll proceed on that 15 schedule. Obviously, if there are any disagreements, 16 17 particularly with regard to further discovery, bring them to my attention as quickly as possible by letter so I can resolve 18 19 those so you can move forward efficiently. Otherwise, if you 20 agree on what needs to be done, let's do it in that timeframe 21 and then anticipate seeing each other on May 18th at 10:30. 22 Is there anything else we need to address today? 23 MR. LIPTON: That's it for us, your Honor. Thank you. 24 THE COURT: All right. I'll see you in May unless I